

# Employers Beware: Ontario Court Of Appeal Upholds Exceptional Ruling In Wrongful Dismissal Case

June 03, 2019

In its recent decision, *Ruston v. Kedddco MFG. (2011) Ltd.*, 2019 ONCA 125 (Kedddco), the Ontario Court of Appeal upheld an extraordinary damages award and unusually high costs ruling against an employer due to its breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing in the manner of dismissal. The case serves as an opportunity for employers to learn from another's mistakes.

## Facts

The plaintiff, Scott Ruston, was first hired by the defendant employer, Kedddco, as a sales representative in 2004. Over the course of his employment, he advanced quickly, and was promoted to president of the company in 2011.

In 2015, Kedddco terminated Ruston's employment, claiming that he was being terminated for cause and that he had committed fraud. When Ruston indicated that he would be retaining legal representation, Kedddco advised him that, if he hired a lawyer, it would counter-claim against him and that it would be very expensive.

When Ruston filed a statement of claim seeking damages for wrongful dismissal, Kedddco responded with a statement of defence and counter-claim alleging cause and claiming damages of \$1.7 million for unjust enrichment, breach of fiduciary duty and fraud, as well as \$50,000 in punitive damages.

## Decision

### Lower Court

The trial judge found that Kedddco had failed to prove cause or any of its other allegations against Ruston. She also found that its counter-claim for \$1.7 million in damages was an intimidation tactic and that Kedddco had breached its obligation of good faith and fair dealing in the manner of Ruston's dismissal. The counter-claim was dismissed in its entirety and Ruston was awarded the following damages:

1. Pay in lieu of reasonable notice based on a 19-month notice period, including bonus and benefits
2. \$100,000 in punitive damages
3. \$25,000 in moral damages

Ruston was also awarded \$546,684.73 in substantial indemnity costs in a separate costs decision.

Keddco appealed all of the above damages amounts and sought leave to appeal the costs award.

## **Court of Appeal**

The Court of Appeal upheld all aspects of the lower court's ruling and dismissed Keddco's appeal in its entirety.

First, the court found that the trial judge had given "careful and cogent reasons" for her award of a 19-month notice period, noting that Ruston's age (54 years), that he had family ties to a smaller area for the purpose of finding similar employment, and that he was terminated for serious allegations of cause and was not provided with a letter of reference, justified the longer notice period.

Second, the court found that the moral damages award was warranted based on **Keddco's manner of dismissal, which included threatening Ruston not to make a claim and commencing a counter-claim, both of which caused Ruston stress.**

**The court also upheld the punitive damages award, dismissing Keddco's argument that the trial judge had erred by failing to consider the punitive aspects of a substantial costs award and compensatory damages. The court held that the trial judge referring to the same underlying conduct in making both the moral and punitive damages awards did not amount to double punishment as the two heads of damages serve distinct purposes. There was no basis upon which to interfere with the trial judge's award, as the employer's conduct was deserving of denunciation and the trial judge had been "alive to the concerns about double compensation."**

Finally, the court denied the motion for leave to appeal from the costs award, finding it fair and reasonable in the circumstances.

## **Commentary**

**The Keddco decision is a potent reminder for employers to satisfy their duty of good faith and fair dealing when dismissing an employee.**

The ruling underscores that allegations of cause should not be made lightly, and certainly not in a bad faith or unfair manner.

Where employers breach the duty of good faith and fair dealing, including by making baseless cause allegations, they face the risk of being liable for significant amounts for **both moral and punitive damages** and a substantial costs award.

By

[Madeeha Hashmi](#)

Expertise

[Labour & Employment](#)

---

## BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

### BLG Offices

#### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

#### Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

#### Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2024 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.