

Ontario Court of Appeal holds joint occupiers owe each other a duty of care

06 avril 2020

In <u>Nolet v. Fischer, 2020 ONCA 155</u>, the Ontario Court of Appeal determined that one occupier can owe a duty to another occupier under the **Occupiers' Liability Act**, RSO 1990, c O1 (the Act).

Background

The appellant, David Nolet (David), and the respondent, Caroline Fischer (Caroline), started dating in 2008. In 2010, David moved into Caroline's house (the premises). The relationship ended, and David moved out in 2012. While in the process of moving out, David, who was carrying his freezer out of the premises, tripped and fell over a ledge caused by two uneven concrete slabs. He injured his left ankle and sued Caroline for damages. David alleged that Caroline owed him a duty of care under the Act because he was a person entering onto the premises.

Lower Court's decision – summary judgment motion (2018 ONSC 5771)

Caroline successfully moved for summary judgment and got David's claim dismissed based on the following grounds:

- 1. Caroline did not owe David a duty of care under the Act because David also occupied the premises; and
- 2. Even if Caroline owed David a duty of care, David failed to prove a breach of duty because:
 - a. David did not prove, on the balance of probabilities, that there was any unevenness on the sidewalk which constituted a hazard; and
 - b. Even if there was a hazard, David was aware of it.

Court of Appeal decision (2020 ONCA 155)



David appealed the motion judge's decision. The Ontario Court of Appeal deferred to the factual findings of the motion judge, dismissing the appeal on this basis - no breach of duty of care as no proof of the existence of a hazard.

However, the Court ruled that the motion judge erred in law in her interpretation of the Act and found the following:

- 1. On proper interpretation of the Act, one occupier can owe a duty to another occupier because:
 - a. The Act does not state that one occupier does not owe the duty of care to another occupier;
 - b. There is no language or provision of the Act that states when an occupier enters on the premises they are not a person "entering on premises" for the purposes of the Act; and
 - c. There is no basis to read any such legal restriction into the Act.
- 2. The scarcity of case law on this issue does not undermine the proper interpretation of the Act.

Takeaway

The Act does not preclude one occupier from suing another for breach of the statutory duty to take reasonable care for the reasonable safety of persons entering on the premises; and the property they bring onto the premises.

The Court viewed the Act as a complete code that contains all restrictions, limitations and exceptions to when the occupier's duty of care arises. Courts will not negate the existence of the duty of care owed based on the scarcity of case law where one occupier sued another occupier under the Act. This is because there may be other explanations as to why occupiers often do not recover from other occupiers, such as the unavailability of insurance or the defence of the willing assumption of risk.

Par

Rebecca Bush, Sunny Kim

Services

Litiges



BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest Suite 900 Montréal, QC, Canada

H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555 F 514.879.9015

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160

F 613.230.8842

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada

M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais s.e.n.c.r.L., s.r.l. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais s.E.N.C.R.L., s.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.