

# Federal Court of Appeal clarifies standard for granting leave in NOC cases

December 09, 2020

When the Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations (the NOC Regulations) were amended in 2017, the procedure governing their proceedings was amended to, among other things, provide that an appeal from any interlocutory order would be heard by the Federal Court of Appeal (FCA) only with leave.<sup>1</sup> **This introduced two changes:** (i) removing an appeal to a Federal Court judge from a decision of a Prothonotary, and (ii) introducing a requirement to obtain leave. The FCA has since heard many motions for leave, but has not issued reasons with respect to those motions. In a recent decision, the FCA issued reasons outlining the criteria under which leave to appeal would be granted,<sup>2</sup> bringing clarity to the matter.

## The appellate standard of review

The Court stated that the normal standard for granting leave is a ‘fairly arguable case’, but that this evaluation must take place in the context of the appellate standard of review. Thus, if the review standard is correctness, the appellant must show that the decision below was arguably wrong. Further, if the review standard is palpable and overriding error, the appellant must show it can overcome that deferential standard. The deference the FCA gives to case management orders adds to this burden. The FCA held that **“good counsel, in pursuing the interests of their clients, tend to characterize something as an error of law or of extricable principle when, in fact, it is nothing of the sort.”**<sup>3</sup> **Thus, the FCA must scrutinize the alleged error to determine the relevant standard.** In addition, the Court held that the appellant must show that the decision under appeal will have a direct impact on the overall success or failure of the case. The Court held that the nature of amendments to the NOC Regulations suggest that leave should be granted only in matters of **“prime significance and materiality”**.<sup>4</sup>

This guidance from the FCA will be of great use to the IP bar in NOC proceedings.

<sup>1</sup> Regulations Amending the Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations, S.O.R./2017-166, s. 6.11.

<sup>2</sup> [Apotex Inc. v. Allergan Inc. et al, 2020 FCA 208.](#)

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.* at para 12.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid. at para 14.

By

[Beverley Moore](#)

Expertise

[Intellectual Property](#), [Intellectual Property Litigation](#)

---

## **BLG | Canada's Law Firm**

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

### **BLG Offices**

#### **Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### **Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### **Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

#### **Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

#### **Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.