

Can A Severability Clause Save You? Ontario's Top Court Weighs In On Termination Provisions, Again

01 décembre 2017

The Ontario Court of Appeal doubles down on its more technical, employee-friendly approach to interpreting termination provisions.

The ongoing judicial saga of termination clause enforceability continues to unfold. The latest chapter is the decision of North v. Metaswitch Networks Corporation, 2017 ONCA 790, which sees the Ontario Court of Appeal doubling down on its more technical, employee-friendly approach to interpreting termination provisions.

Background

Employers know that in order to limit an employee's entitlements upon termination to the minimums under the Employment Standards Act, 2000 ("ESA"), the employment contract must contain clear language that reflects that intention, and it must confirm the parties' intention to abide by the ESA's statutory minimums (including providing notice or pay in lieu, severance, benefits continuation, and the like). Section 5(1) of the ESA prohibits contracting out of its employment standards, and voids any such purported contract.

The termination clause in North checked most of the boxes for an enforceable termination provision. However, it also contained the following sentence:

In the event of the termination of your employment, any payments owing to you shall be based on your Base Salary, as defined in the Agreement.

By excluding the employee's commissions, which constitute "wages" under the ESA, the clause attempted to contract out of a minimum ESA standard.

The employer, however, argued that the agreement's severability clause could save the termination provision. The argument was that the severability clause — which contained standard language permitting the removal of an "illegal or otherwise unenforceable" part



while leaving the rest intact – could excise the offending sentence and leave the remainder of the termination clause enforceable.

Court of Appeal 's Decision

While the applications judge had accepted the employer's argument, the Ontario Court of Appeal did not agree. It concluded that section 5(1) of the ESA makes the severability clause inapplicable to the ESA-noncompliant termination clause.

Based on its recent decision of Wood v. Fred Deeley Imports Ltd. (released after the applications judge in North issued her decision), and the seminal Supreme Court of Canada decision of Machtinger v. HOJ Industries Ltd., the Court of Appeal concluded that where a termination clause contracts out of an employment standard, a court must find the entire termination clause to be void. Removing only the offending portion to make it ESA-compliant is an error of law. Because the non-compliant portion voids the entire termination clause, there is no remaining portion that could be saved through a severability clause.

The employee in North had argued that the effect of section 5(1) of the ESA was to render the severability clause itself void. Both the applications judge and the Court of Appeal rejected that argument. Instead, the Court of Appeal found that the severability clause simply could not have any application to a termination clause that was already made void by statute due to non-compliance with the ESA.

Implications

The Court of Appeal relied heavily on Wood and Machtinger. These cases affirm the principle that for a number of policy reasons, courts interpret employment agreements differently from other commercial agreements.

Although the Court's prior decision in Oudin v. Centre Francophone de Toronto (on which the applications judge in North relied) focused on the parties' agreement and their evident intentions, North and Wood now confirm that the more traditional approach from Machtinger will likely prevail going forward. This approach focuses on employee protection: it acknowledges the inequality of bargaining power, encourages employers to comply with the ESA, and creates an incentive for employers to draft ESA-compliant agreements.

Employers should take care to ensure that all employment agreements comply with the ESA's minimum standards, bearing in mind that violations may not be redeemed by a severability clause.

Par

Maddie Axelrod

Services

Travail et emploi



BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest Suite 900 Montréal, QC, Canada

H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555 F 514.879.9015

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160

F 613.230.8842

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada

M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais s.e.n.c.r.L., s.r.l. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais s.E.N.C.R.L., s.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.