

Ontario Superior Court provides direction on proper conduct in carriage motions

05 juin 2020

In [Del Giudice v. Thompson, 2020 ONSC 2676](#), the Ontario Superior Court of Justice recently provided important guidance on how carriage motions ought to be prepared and argued.

Background and decision

This case concerns a data breach that is alleged to have compromised the personal and confidential information of an estimated six million Canadian Capital One customers in 2019. Several proposed national class actions were commenced across Canada, including two in Ontario, which gave rise to the carriage motion. One consortium represented plaintiff Rina Del Giudice, and the competing consortium represented plaintiff David Slapinski. Justice Perell held that it was in the best interests of the putative class that the Del Giudice action be granted carriage, rather than the Slapinski action, because its case theory had prompted it to join two relevant parties as defendants.

In reaching this conclusion, Justice Perell offered “faint praise” to the consortia prosecuting both actions as he was satisfied that either consortium would have fulfilled their responsibilities to the class.

He was critical, however, of the fact that the putative class counsel did not retain external independent counsel to prepare the materials and argue the motion, as he has previously recommended that counsel do on carriage motions. The motion materials, he stated, caused him to “grimace” and “gag” as the prose was “prolix, tedious, whiningly-polemic, conceited, pompously preachy, wanting in objectivity, and grossly overstated.” Further, the pleadings contained a number of “egregious violations” of the rules of pleading and failed to concisely state the material facts.

Finally, though the Del Giudice action was successful in winning carriage, class counsel in that action had failed to comply with the case management timetable prompting Justice Perell to invite the Slapinski class counsel to make submissions on whether costs ought to be imposed against the Del Giudice counsel personally.

Takeaway

While the carriage decision itself is not groundbreaking, the decision provides important direction to class counsel on proper conduct in carriage motions. Justice Perell made it clear that drafting pleadings to please class members or “vilify the defendants in the media” is unprofessional and “disappointing.”

Instead, lawyers involved in a carriage motion should: (i) consider retaining independent counsel to argue the carriage motion on their behalf; (ii) avoid overstating their case or engaging in excessive rhetoric (which is never good advocacy); and (iii) give careful consideration to their theory of the case, which may be determinative of the motion.

Par

[Erin VanderVeer](#)

Services

[Litiges, Actions collectives](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir souposé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.