

# CIPO releases its first decision under new due care standard for patent prosecution

08 avril 2022

The Canadian Intellectual Property Office (CIPO) has published notice of its first decision under the new due care standard for patent prosecution. Although due care was found, it required a series of written arguments and affidavit evidence from the Applicant to convince the Patent Office.

The issue arose following a missed maintenance fee payment during prosecution of CA 2,862,859. The facts below are summarized from the submissions of the Applicant and the responses of the Commissioner as found in the online file wrapper. However, not all of the supporting documents and correspondence are available online.

## What you need to know

- A notice of allowance was issued for the patent application without receiving a single examiners report;
- In order to make an amendment to the application that would require further searches by the examiner, it was necessary to allow the application to become abandoned by failure to pay the final fee under section 32 of the Patent Rules (as they read prior to October 30, 2019);
- The patent application was allowed to expire for failure to pay the final fee;
- The Applicant had engaged an annuity service to pay the 2020 maintenance fee and advised the Canadian agent accordingly. The Canadian agent removed the maintenance fee payment from its docketing system; and
- The Applicant later reversed the maintenance fee payment instruction and asked the Canadian agent to pay the 2020 maintenance fee. This reversal was **accidentally mischaracterized in the agent's docketing system, such that the maintenance fee was not paid and the application was abandoned.**

Although fees to reinstate and late fees were paid, the application was deemed abandoned for failure to pay the 2020 maintenance fee within the six month period following the due date.

## Written arguments and affidavit evidence

At this point, the patent applicant can not reinstate as of right, but instead must show that the failure to pay the maintenance fee occurred despite the due care required by the circumstances having been taken.<sup>1</sup> Thus, the Applicant submitted written arguments and affidavit evidence claiming that the isolated human error occurred as a result of a complicated series of events that were unlikely to ever occur again, namely:

- It is no longer necessary to abandon the application by failing to pay the final fee because amendments to the Patent Act and Rules were made to allow withdrawal of the Notice of Allowance.
- **The abandonment caused the Applicant's annuity service to delete its own docket to pay the maintenance fee.**
- **The Applicant's instructions to the Canadian agent to not pay the maintenance fee in favour of the annuity service caused the Canadian agent to delete the docket to pay the maintenance fee**
- **The COVID-19 pandemic caused the Canadian agent's office to close and to switch everyone to working from home**
- The instruction from the Applicant reversing its original position and asking the Canadian agent to pay the maintenance fee was accidentally mischaracterized in the docketing system by the administrative staff member who processed the email. This meant that a new docket to pay the fee was not created to replace the docket that was previously deleted.

The Patent Office was not convinced that this met the due care standard. Eight months after the Request Letter was sent, the Patent Office took issue with the evidence provided, such as failing to explain:

- Whether and how the administrative staff responsible for receiving incoming correspondence were carefully chosen, trained or monitored. This comment was made despite recognizing the administrative staff member who made the error **had been with the Canadian agent's firm for 18 years;**
- The instruction that was provided to the administrative staff member regarding the classification of client instructions and entry of instructions into the docketing system;
- What reasonable and regular supervision was exercised over the work performed by the administrative staff member generally, and specifically in the COVID-19 pandemic, to ensure that classification errors would be avoided, or would be identified and addressed;
- The measures taken to ensure client instructions are properly classified;
- How foreseeable limitations of the mail classification system and entry of instructions into the docketing system are generally monitored and addressed;
- How the docketing system itself is generally satisfactory;
- What quality assurance and monitoring protocols are in place;
- How the misclassification of the client instruction was an isolated event; and
- How the transition to working from home was linked to the failure to pay the fees.

Three weeks later, the Applicant filed additional submissions and affidavit evidence to **address the Patent Office's concerns. The Patent Office responded after a further five months, and reinstated the application.**

## Takeaways

As can be seen from this decision, the Patent Office requires a high level of detail in the due care submission.

Furthermore, the Patent Office's [proposed service standard](#) is to respond within two months of the request to reinstate or two months from receipt of the last correspondence relating to the request. However, as can be seen above, the Patent Office took eight months and a further five months to respond. Third-party rights can begin at the same time as the due care standard, and ends on the earlier of reinstatement or grant. Therefore, applicants ought to be warned that the delay in reinstatement can potentially result in a significant loss of rights.

<sup>1</sup> Section 73, Patent Act

## Par

[Adrian J. Howard](#)

## Services

[Propriété intellectuelle, Brevets](#)

---

## BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

## Bureaux BLG

### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

### Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

### Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à [desabonnement@blg.com](mailto:desabonnement@blg.com) ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans [blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe](http://blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe). Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur [blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels](http://blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels).

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.