

Federal Court Affirms that Trademark “Use” and “Intent to Use” are Not Interchangeable

12 mars 2020

[Pentastar Transport Ltd. v. FCA US LLC, 2020 FC 367.](#)

In this case, Pentastar Transport Ltd. (“PTL”) exercised its statutory right of appeal from a decision of the Registrar, as prescribed by s. 56 of the Trade-marks Act. The Registrar had rejected PTL’s opposition to FCA US LLC’s (“FCA US”) trademark application. In its application, FCA US proposed to use the word mark PENTASTAR for vehicle engines. Meanwhile, PTL owned the word mark PENTASTAR in association with oil and gas services.

The hearing before the Registrar occurred under the old Trade-marks Act (“the Act”) and therefore the Act also governed the appeal. PTL appealed the Registrar’s decision that FCA US did not violate s. 38(2)(a) of the Act, which states that non-conformity with s. 30 is a ground for opposition. PTL argued that FCA US did not conform with s. 30(e) of the Act, because FCA US did not intend to use the trademark in Canada at the time of the application.

Justice Kane of the Federal Court dismissed the appeal. She began by describing the parties’ burdens in opposition proceedings. First, there is an evidential burden on the opponent (PTL in this case) to support the facts pleaded in its statement of opposition. If this evidential burden is met, there is a legal burden on the applicant (FCA US in this case), to show that the application does not contravene the provisions of the Act as alleged by the opponent. The Registrar had found that PTL had not met its evidentiary burden, and in any event, FCA US had met its legal burden.

Justice Kane decided that there was no palpable and overriding error when the Registrar found that PTL had not met its initial evidential burden to show that FCA US did not have a genuine intention to use the mark as of the filing date, despite it being a light one. The Registrar “clearly understood” and addressed PTL’s arguments and evidence. It was open to the Registrar to conclude that the evidence of PTL’s private investigator witness, who visited one of FCA US’ car dealerships on one occasion and reportedly saw no use of the PENTASTAR mark, was not sufficient to meet PTL’s evidential burden. The Registrar did not err in stating that the concepts of “use” and “intent to use” are not interchangeable, and that use of the mark since the filing date may be considered, but is not a proxy for its intended use. There was no evidence

presented indicating that FCA US did not have an intention to use the mark at the filing date, and therefore the Registrar made no reviewable error.

Justice Kane also found that the Registrar did not err in deciding that FCA US had met **its legal burden**. Although PTL alleged that FCA US' only use of the PENTASTAR mark was on promotional materials, the Registrar found that the mark also appeared on point of sale materials (invoices), and that the press releases bearing the mark were distributed shortly after FCA US filed its application. This evidence was sufficient to support FCA's intent to use the mark at the filing date, and the appeal was dismissed.

Although section 30(e) is no longer a ground of opposition under the new Trademarks Act (the "New Act"), section 38(2)(e) of the New Act contains a similar provision. The new section 38(2)(e) states that a trademark application can be opposed if, at the filing date of the application, the applicant was not using and did not propose to use the trademark in Canada in association with the good or services specified in the application. Therefore, it is likely that case law interpreting the old s. 30(e) will still have precedential value.

Par

Services

[Propriété intellectuelle](#), [Droit d'auteur](#), [Dessin industriel](#), [Octroi de licences](#), [Brevets](#), [Marques de commerce](#), [Litige en matière de propriété intellectuelle](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 800 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.