

# British Columbia Government Announces Proposed Changes to Class Proceeding Act

April 25, 2018

On April 23, 2018, the British Columbia government introduced Bill 21, proposing a variety of amendments to the Class Proceeding Act, **British Columbia's class action** legislation. The primary focus of the proposed amendments is to change how non-residents of British Columbia are included in B.C. class proceedings and to introduce rules for handling multi-jurisdictional proceedings. The government based many of the **proposed changes on the Uniform Law Conference of Canada's model Uniform Class Proceedings Act** amendments of 2006. British Columbia now joins Alberta and Saskatchewan in following this model legislation.

On April 26, 2018, the Bill passed third reading, without amendment. It will come into force on a date to be determined.

In its press release introducing the Bill, the government stated that these proposed changes will "clarify and improve the processes for class proceedings involving both residents and non-residents of British Columbia" and "increase access to justice and improve judicial efficiency by reducing the necessity for parallel proceedings to take place in other provinces or territories".

## Proposed Amendments

Under the current law, residents outside of British Columbia must take active steps to participate in class proceedings ("opt in"). The most significant proposed change to the legislation will result in those persons being automatically considered to be part of a **certified class action unless they "opt out"**. This change will make British Columbia's legislation similar in this regard to most Canadian jurisdictions, except for New Brunswick and Newfoundland and Labrador where non-residents must still "opt in".

The proposed amendments also add special definitions and provisions to deal with non-residents, including the introduction of the "multi-jurisdictional class proceeding", which is defined as a proceeding that is brought on behalf of a class of persons that includes persons who do not reside in British Columbia. Under these new rules, proposed representative plaintiffs in British Columbia must give notice of their proposed class action to other representative plaintiffs (or possible representative plaintiffs) in other class actions (or proposed class actions) involving the same or similar subject matter in

other jurisdictions. The proposed amendments provide that such individuals would have the opportunity to make submissions at the B.C. certification hearing and that the B.C. court would be required to consider whether it is preferable to resolve the claim (or any part of it) in another jurisdiction.

The proposed amendments also include other amendments to bring these changes into effect, including a transition section for cases that have been commenced but not yet certified. If a proceeding has already been certified, the proposed amendments allow parties to apply to amend the certification order to add non-residents to the class definition.

The proposed text of Bill 21 is available online.

### **Comment**

These amendments are likely to increase class actions commenced in British Columbia as the legislation continues the "no-costs" regime for class actions. The introduction of provisions dealing with multi-jurisdiction proceedings will assist with efforts to rationalize litigation where proceedings have been brought in a number of provinces. It remains to be seen whether additional provinces will adopt similar provisions for multi-jurisdiction proceedings and, of course, the effectiveness of these provisions will depend on the approach taken to them by courts across the country. In this regard, it can be expected that Québec courts will continue to chart a separate course as both its substantive and procedural law relating to class actions is distinct.

### **Contact Us**

For further information on this topic, or to provide comments or questions, please contact the authors.

Brad W. Dixon and Michelle T. Maniago are partners in Borden Ladner Gervais LLP's Vancouver office and have significant experience with class actions. Mr. Dixon currently serves as the National Co-Chair of the firm's Class Action Group. Together, they have acted as counsel in many class proceedings including, *Koubi v. Mazda Canada*, 2012 BCCA 310, *Marshall v. United Furniture Warehouse Limited Partnership*, 2015 BCCA 252, *Sekhon v. Royal Bank of Canada*, 2017 BCSC 497, and *Simsek v. United Airlines, Inc.*, 2017 BCCA 316.

By

[Brad Dixon](#), [Michelle T. Maniago](#)

Expertise

[Class Action Defence](#)

---

**BLG | Canada's Law Firm**

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

**BLG Offices****Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3  
  
T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

**Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9  
  
T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

**Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2  
  
T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

**Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4  
  
T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

**Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3  
  
T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.