

Court decision raises risk of securities class actions for companies receiving whistleblower report

16 novembre 2020

Internal whistleblower reports raise a series of risks for securities issuers, including concerns about the <u>risks of conducting an inadequate investigation</u>, potential employment lawsuits for <u>reprisals by company employees against the whistleblower</u>, and potential liability for <u>impeding the whistleblower's ability to communicate with regulators</u>. After a recent decision from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, companies can now add to the list future securities misrepresentation actions.

What you need to know

- A recent U.S. Court of Appeal case held that a retaliation lawsuit by a whistleblower against an issuer can constitute a corrective disclosure for purposes of a securities misrepresentation action.
- It is only a matter of time before Canadian plaintiffs advance this same argument about whistleblower retaliation lawsuits in securities misrepresentation actions in Canada.
- Companies that receive an internal whistleblower report should take all appropriate steps to address the complaint and protect the whistleblower against retaliation to mitigate the risk of a future securities misrepresentation action.

The Bofl decision

On October 8, 2020, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit released its <u>decision</u> <u>in In re Bofl Holding, Inc. Securities Litigation</u> (Bofl), a securities class action suit launched against bank holding company Bofl Holding by investors. In the suit, the investors alleged that, between September 2013 and February 2016, Bofl executives made misrepresentations to investors about the bank's loan underwriting standards, internal controls and compliance infrastructure.

The investors asserted that the stock price of Bofl fell 30 per cent after the truth was revealed in a whistleblower retaliation lawsuit brought by a former company internal audit employee who was terminated after allegedly raising concerns within Bofl of "rampant and egregious wrongdoing" at the company. The lower court concluded that

BLG

the whistleblower retaliation lawsuit could not serve as a corrective disclosure because it contained only "unconfirmed accusations of fraud" that could not establish with the requisite degree of certainty that any alleged misrepresentations were false.

In reviewing the lower court's decision to dismiss the action, the Ninth Circuit held that the relevant question was "whether the market reasonably perceived [the employee's] allegations as true and acted upon them accordingly". Based on the significant drop in Bofl's stock price following the lawsuit, the court concluded that the suit could serve as a corrective disclosure and reinstated the action.

Bofl and Ontario securities law

Ontario courts have generally followed American jurisprudence on whether an event can constitute a corrective disclosure. For instance, in the United States and in Ontario, a corrective disclosure does not need to be a "mirror image" of the original misrepresentation, so long as there is some linkage or connection between the corrective disclosure and the earlier misrepresentation. Moreover, as in the United States, a corrective disclosure under Ontario law can emanate from a source other than the issuer. Ontario courts have held that corrective disclosures may stem from a variety of third parties, including anonymous internet blog posts and newspaper articles.

Given the close similarities between the treatment of corrective disclosures in the United States and Ontario, there is no doubt the plaintiff securities class action bar in Ontario is monitoring the Bofl development closely. Likely, it is only a matter of time before we begin to see plaintiffs in Ontario claiming that a whistleblower lawsuit against an issuer constitutes a corrective disclosure for the purposes of securities misrepresentation class actions. The high degree of detail and specificity contained in some whistleblower lawsuits, combined with the credibility inherent in some allegations made by former company employees, present a risk that the courts will accept such an argument.

Implications

The Bofl decision raises the stakes for companies that receive an internal whistleblower report of potential misconduct. While previously risks to a company from a whistleblower report included potential employment suits and regulatory scrutiny, the Bofl decision opens up companies to the possibility of a securities class action based on a whistleblower lawsuit.

In light of this new risk, companies would be well-advised to guard against potential whistleblower lawsuits by treating all internal whistleblower reports seriously, including conducting an investigation and taking remedial measures where appropriate, and taking care to ensure that whistleblowers do not perceive that they are being retaliated against for their report. Companies may also want to consult <u>our practical step-by-step guide</u> for handing such complaints that addresses these and other related concerns.

The authors thank articling student Brianne Taylor for her research assistance.

Par

Omar K. Madhany, Adrian Pel

BLG

Services

Marchés financiers, Litiges

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest Suite 900 Montréal, QC, Canada H3B 5H4 T 514.954.2555

F 514.879.9015

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9 T 613.237.5160 F 613.230.8842

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 4E3 T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2 T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais s.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à <u>desabonnement@blg.com</u> ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans <u>blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe</u>. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à <u>communications@blg.com</u>. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur <u>blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels</u>.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.