

Charter-Rights Class Action Approach to Climate Change Litigation in Canada: ENvironnement JEUnesse c. Attorney General of Canada

04 décembre 2018

Introduction

Climate change litigation is gaining momentum in Canada as in other jurisdictions around the world. The few Canadian cases so far have been in the context of specific project approvals and petitions affecting a particular industry sector. However, on November 26, 2018, a Quebec non-profit organization dedicated to environmental education, ENvironnement JEUnesse ("ENJEU"), filed a motion for authorization to institute a class action at the Quebec Superior Court (the "Petition") against the Government of Canada ("GOC") on behalf of Quebec residents aged 35 and under, [found here](#). **The Petition seeks, among other reliefs, a declaration that the GOC has failed in its obligations under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms ("Canadian Charter") and the Quebec Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms ("Quebec Charter"), to protect the fundamental rights of its citizens. ENJEU also seeks punitive damages as a deterrence for interference with such rights. The Petition has significant implications for major project development in Canada, especially when combined with the existing and proposed federal environmental climate change regime such as Bill C-69.**

Background

In our previous blog, "From Social License to Class Action - Climate Change Litigation has come to Canada, Project Proponents Beware", we reviewed the status of climate change litigation in Canada, the challenges to its success and the risk it poses to development projects including interjurisdictional pipelines. About two years thereafter, the ENJEU has launched the first rights-based climate change legal challenge in Canada by way of a class action.

Orders Sought

The Petition seeks a declaration that the GOC, by adopting dangerous greenhouse gas reduction targets and by failing to put in place the necessary measures to limit global warming to 1.5°C, violates the following rights of class members contrary to the

Canadian Charter and the Quebec Charter: (a) life, inviolability and security; (b) live in a healthful environment in which biodiversity is preserved; and (c) equal exercise of their constitutional rights. Other reliefs sought include cessation of unlawful and intentional interference with the class members' rights by the GOC, payment by GOC to each member of the class the sum of \$100 or alternatively implementation of a remedial measure to help curb global warming, and legal fees including expert fees.

Issues

The questions of fact and law that the Petition asks the Court to decide include: (a) whether the GOC has an obligation to implement measures to prevent global warming and thereby safeguard the constitutional rights of class members under sections 7 and 15 of the Canadian Charter and sections 1, 10 and 46.1 of the Quebec Charter; (b) whether the GOC failed to meet this obligation by not putting in place the necessary **measures to limit global warming to 1.5°C**; (c) **whether the adoption by GOC of GHG reduction targets known to be harmful violates the right of class members to an equal exercise of their constitutional rights**; and (d) whether the GOC is guilty of a civil intentional fault under Quebec' **jus commune**.

ENJEU's Arguments

ENJEU argues that the GOC has recognized in signed international instruments the urgency and the need to take measures to avoid the consequences of global warming on human health and wellbeing. It argues that by ignoring the scientific consensus, the GOC has set inadequate GHG reduction goals that it has never met. ENJEU argues that individuals aged 35 and under will systematically assume higher costs as a result of global warming, and that any short term economic cost is disproportionate to the gravity of the physical, moral and material harm that affects and will affect all class members in the short, medium and long term. It further argues that GOC's inaction constitutes an intentional fault committed in bad faith.

Implications

The Petition differs from other climate change cases by its rights-based, class action approach. The class action procedure requires that the action will have to be authorized **by the Quebec Superior Court to ensure that it is within the Code of Civil Procedure and** to authorize the representative of the class to pursue the action. A decision on the motion for authorization is expected within a year.

Such impacts include delay, increased financial costs capable of rendering a project uneconomic, and reputational cost among others. While we highlighted challenges to the success of climate change lawsuits founded on tort and other common law causes of action, ENJEU's Petition as a class action based on Charter rights is in a different genre.

Further, there have been significant changes in GOC's approach to climate change since 2016. The GOC adopted the Pan-Canadian Framework on Clean Growth and Climate Change with several initiatives to meet its Paris greenhouse gas ("GHG") **emissions reduction commitments. One of those initiatives is Bill C-69 - An Act to enact the Impact Assessment Act and the Canadian Energy Regulator Act, to amend the**

Navigation Protection Act and to make consequential amendments to other Acts, introduced on February 8, 2018.

This omnibus legislation has 4 parts. Part 1 enacts the Impact Assessment Act and repeals the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012. Part 2 enacts the Canadian Energy Regulator Act and repeals the National Energy Board Act. Part 3 amends the Navigation Protection Act and Part 4 makes consequential amendments to numerous other federal laws and regulations. Key proposed changes include a shift from environmental assessment to impact assessment. The focus will no longer be just the environment, but will include health, social and economic impacts and gender-based analysis. There will also be increased public participation and science-based decisions. There will no longer be "directly affected" standing test in impact assessment proceedings.

While these federal initiatives represent strong counter-arguments, the Petition is a Charter claim and will likely attract careful judicial consideration. More importantly, the existence of a climate change Charter-based class action, combined with the recent federal initiatives on climate change, increase the potential challenges facing new interjurisdictional pipeline development in Canada.

BLG will continue to monitor these issues and provide updates.

Par

[Alexandre Desjardins, Jennifer Jean-Baptiste](#)

Services

[Changements climatiques, Environnement, Énergie – Électricité, Énergie – Pétrole et gaz](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 800 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

[blg.com](#)

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.