

# SCC denies leave to appeal in landmark case subjecting climate change regulation to Charter scrutiny

05 mai 2025

On May 1, 2025, the <u>Supreme Court of Canada decided</u> that it would not hear an appeal of the Court of Appeal for Ontario's landmark decision in Mathur v. Ontario, <u>2024 ONCA 762</u>. The Court of Appeal unanimously found that a Charter challenge to Ontario's greenhouse gas emission (GHG) target was not a "positive rights" claim imposing freestanding positive obligations on the provincial government - because Ontario voluntarily assumed a statutory obligation to combat climate change, it was bound to ensure its emissions target and plan were Charter-compliant. The matter will now return to the Superior Court to resolve based on the Court of Appeal's decision, which will stand as a leading authority on challenges to government action under ss. 7 and 15 of the Charter.

# The Charter challenge

The challenge stemmed from Ontario's 2018 Cap and Trade Cancellation Act, 2018 S.O. c. 13, which repealed previous legislated GHG emission reduction targets and required the government to set new ones. Ontario then released the Preserving and Protecting our Environment for Future Generations - A Made-in-Ontario Environmental Plan setting a new target which was lower than the repealed target and fell short of the scientific consensus as to what is required to combat climate change.

Seven young Ontarians, some of whom are Indigenous, brought an application for a declaration that the target violated ss. 7 and 15 of the Charter because it permitted a level of GHG emissions that would cause serious harm and disproportionately impact young people and future generations. They also sought a declaration requiring Ontario to set a science-based target in accordance with international standards.

# **Superior Court decision**

The Superior Court dismissed the application (Mathur v. Ontario, <u>2023 ONSC 2316</u>). The application judge held that the applicants were seeking to impose a "freestanding positive obligation" on the state to adopt a more restrictive GHG emission reduction



target. She found that even if such an obligation existed, the impacts of the target were not inconsistent with the principles of fundamental justice under s. 7 of the Charter. She further found that the target was not discriminatory under s. 15 because the impacts were caused by climate change, not the target. However, the application judge did find that the issues were justiciable, that the Charter applied, and that the target was sufficiently connected to the harm suffered by the applicants for the purposes of s. 7 by contributing to risks to life and health from climate change.

# **Ontario Court of Appeal decision**

The Court of Appeal found that the application judge made an overarching error by treating the claim as a "positive rights" case. By enacting legislation, Ontario assumed a voluntary obligation to do something about climate change through a plan and GHG emission reduction target. Therefore, the plan and target must be constitutionally compliant. The claim did not seek to impose any new positive obligations on the government; it sought to ensure that the target the government did pass met Charter standards.

The Court of Appeal found that the application judge's analysis was coloured by her error in treating the claim as a positive rights case. Under s. 7, the question was not whether the target did not go far enough in the absence of any positive obligation to do anything, but whether given Ontario's positive statutory obligation to combat climate change, the target was compliant with the Charter. Under s. 15, the application judge should have considered whether, in setting a target that fell severely short of the scientific consensus of what was required, Ontario committed itself to a level of GHG emissions that would create or contribute to a disproportionate impact on a protected ground.

The Court of Appeal remitted the matter for a new hearing. The Court of Appeal commented that while the issue of remedy would be up to the application judge, it was open to order that Ontario produce a constitutionally compliant plan and target. As the Supreme Court of Canada denied leave to appeal on the legal issues, the matter will now proceed to another hearing in the Superior Court.

# **Takeaways**

- Mathur is the first Canadian climate change claim under the Charter to have advanced to a hearing on the merits.
- The Court of Appeal focused on what the government had committed itself to do, rather than whether the claim imposes a positive constitutional obligation to act in the first place, and its decision clarifies that existing analytical frameworks under ss. 7 and 15 are already suited to these types of claims. This decision will be an important precedent for other cases involving government regulation such as health care, social services and environmental policy where positive rights arguments are often asserted to dismiss Charter claims.
- Where striking down the legislation would not address the Charter violation, an appropriate remedy may include ordering the government to act in a constitutionally compliant manner, without specifying the precise measures needed to do so.



<u>Teagan Markin</u> and <u>Nadia Effendi</u> of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP represented the B.C. Civil Liberties Association as an intervener before the Court of Appeal.

#### Par

Teagan Markin, Katelyn MacFadyen, Hanna Rioseco

## **Services**

Litiges, Environnement, Différends en matière d'environnement, Litige relatif au droit public

# **BLG** | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

## blg.com

## **Bureaux BLG**

Cal	a	r\/	

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

## Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest Suite 900 Montréal, QC, Canada H3B 5H4

T 544 054

T 514.954.2555 F 514.879.9015

#### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160 F 613.230.8842

## **Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

# Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais s.e.n.c.r.c., s.r.l. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais s.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.