

Anonymous internet commenter fails to set aside default judgment

22 février 2021

On February 5, 2021, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice released its decision in [Theralase Technologies Inc. v. Lanter](#), 2021 ONSC 943. The decision is the second installment in a defamation dispute between the plaintiffs and previously unidentified internet commenters. The decision confirms that remedies do exist against anonymous internet commenter defendants, particularly when the defendant is operating in a commercial or professional context.

Background

In [Theralase Technologies Inc. v. Lanter](#), 2020 ONSC 205, the plaintiffs, a pharmaceutical company and two of its officers, obtained default judgment against a number of anonymous internet commenters who had made derogatory comments on stockhouse.com, a website designed for investors. Although the commenters could not be identified, many of them had been served via their stockhouse.com emails in accordance with a court order for substitute service. The majority of the commenters did not respond to the lawsuit.

After the default judgment was granted, the plaintiffs continued in their efforts to identify the anonymous defendants. The plaintiffs were able to identify one of the defendants and contact him. Once the defendant realized he had been identified, he moved to set the default judgment aside.

[BLG's case summary on the first decision can be found here.](#)

The decision

The Court dismissed the defendant's motion for a number of reasons. Firstly, the defendant acknowledged previously receiving a number of emails from the plaintiffs, which he deposed he "did not actually believe to be serious." The Court saw the defendant's dismissal of the plaintiffs' service emails as a conscious decision not to participate in the proceedings. Following [Sunlife Assurance Company of Canada v. Premier Financial Group Incorporated \(Premier Financial\)](#), 2013 ONCA 151 the Court held that the defendant's motion could be dismissed on this basis alone.

The Court held that the defendant had not moved promptly to set the judgment aside, as he had waited to be identified by the plaintiff before acting. The Court added that even if the merits of the case were to be considered, the defendant's limitations defence must fail as he cannot conceal his identity then allege that the plaintiffs ought to have found him sooner. Finally, the court added that prejudice and the interests of justice weigh in favour of the plaintiffs as they had invested significant time, effort and money into locating the defendant, and allowing the defendant to reopen the proceeding would be an "abuse of process".

Commentary

The decision indicates that with persistence, it may in fact be possible to successfully claim against anonymous internet commenter defendants for defamation. However, the decision does distinguish the defendant, a "seasoned professional in the investment industry", from a non-professional, indicating that the Court may be especially harsh on defendants who post anonymously in a commercial or professional context.

Par

[Natalie D. Kolos, Daniel Milton](#)

Services

[Litiges, Cybersécurité, respect de la vie privée et protection des renseignements personnels, Contestation de réclamations d'assurance](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir souposé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.