

Supreme Court of Canada rules on privacy rights in schools

June 21, 2024

In York Region District School Board v. Elementary Teachers' Federation of Ontario, 2024 SCC 22, the Supreme Court of Canada held that the Charter right against unreasonable search and seizure applies to employees of publicly-funded school boards.

Key takeaways

- The Supreme Court confirmed that all activities of school boards are subject to the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, as they are governmental in nature. This includes the actions of its principals, when they are acting in their official capacity as agents of the board.
- When determining the validity of school board rules involving privacy interests or the freedom of expression, in addition to applying the traditional "KVP Test" from Re Lumber & Sawmill Workers' Union, Local 2537, and KVP Co. Ltd., 1965 CanLII 1009 (ON LA), we expect that arbitrators will often employ a Charter rights analysis.
- Subject to board policies, school board employees may have a reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to content on their board-issued electronic devices, including laptops.

On the advice of their union, two teachers maintained a password-protected log on Google Docs, via a personal Gmail account, where they recorded their concerns regarding another teacher. The principal entered one of their classrooms to return some teaching materials after classes had ended. The teacher was not present. The principal saw that her board-issued laptop was open and touched its mousepad. A document called "Log Google Docs" opened on the screen. The principal read what was visible on the screen and then scrolled through the document. He used his cellphone to take screenshots of the document. When the principal had finished taking photos, he shut down the laptop.

The principal informed his superintendent. The Board seized the teachers' board-issued laptops, and gave the teachers written reprimands.



A labour arbitrator dismissed the resulting grievance. Applying the arbitral "balancing of interests" framework, the arbitrator held there was no breach of the teachers' reasonable expectation of privacy when balanced against the school board's interest in managing the workplace, set out in section 265 of the Education Act.

Supreme Court of Canada decision

After the matter made its way through the courts, the Supreme Court of Canada set aside the arbitrator's decision. According to the Supreme Court, the arbitrator had failed to address the teachers' constitutional rights under section 8 of the Charter, which states: "Everyone has the right to be secure against unreasonable search or seizure."

The Supreme Court of Canada held that teachers are protected by section 8 of the Charter in the workplace, because publicly-funded school boards are inherently governmental, and section 8 does not solely apply in the criminal law context. The arbitrator's failure to address the section 8 concern was an error of law that required her decision to be guashed.

The Supreme Court of Canada also held in a concurring opinion that the arbitrator's decision was unreasonable because, based on the Board's policies and practices, the teachers had a reasonable expectation of privacy. As with any Internet-connected device, the information contained on the teachers' board-issued laptops had the potential to reveal their "specific interests, likes, and propensities," which is personal, biographical information protected by section 8 of the Charter.

Next steps for school boards

School boards should consider reviewing their acceptable use of technology policies and their practices concerning the use of board-issued laptops. In light of the Supreme Court of Canada's conclusion that the Charter applies to school boards, boards may also wish to consider their Code of Conduct policies and investigation procedures for students, including board-issued devices, lockers, and board property.

A more detailed review of the Supreme Court's decision – including the Charter analysis and the administrative and privacy law issues raised by this decision – is forthcoming in a companion bulletin that will be accessible here when published.

If you have any questions about this important decision, please contact <u>John-Paul Alexandrowicz</u> and <u>Melissa Eldridge</u>, the Co-Chairs of BLG's National School Boards Practice.

Ву

John-Paul Alexandrowicz, Melissa L. Eldridge, Callum Hutchinson

Expertise

Education, School Boards and Independent Schools, Government & Public Sector



BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary	

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West Suite 900 Montréal, QC, Canada H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555 F 514.879.9015

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160 F 613.230.8842

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.