

Court of Appeal finds abuse of process for second notice of allegation

15 janvier 2024

The Federal Court of Appeal (the FCA) <u>allowed an appeal</u> by Janssen in respect to its motion for summary judgment. The summary judgment motion was based on an argument that it was, inter alia, an abuse of process for Apotex to send a notice of allegation (NOA) alleging invalidity of a patent listed on the Patent Register pursuant to the NOC Regulations after it had been unsuccessful in respect of non-infringement allegations for the same patent made in a separate NOA (the Prior Action). The Federal Court (the FC) had dismissed the motion for summary judgment, finding that the NOC Regulations permit multiple NOAs where one NOA alleges non-infringement and a second one alleges invalidity.

The FCA noted that the doctrine of abuse of process is flexible, without the specific requirements that must be met in respect of other doctrines such as issue estoppel. In particular, the doctrine of abuse of process has been relied upon to prevent re-litigation where issue estoppel requirements were not met.

The FCA also noted the significant amendments made to the NOC Regulations in 2017, including the objective to avoid two sets of litigation, namely applications pursuant to the NOC Regulations followed by traditional infringement/impeachment actions. In light of these amendments, the FCA opined that the FC should have considered whether a defendant would be able to defend a patent infringement action on the basis of non-infringement action concerning the same patent. The FCA indicated that such a subsequent action would typically constitute an abuse of process and the same reasoning should apply in respect of separate actions brought pursuant to the NOC Regulations.

The FCA rejected Apotex's arguments for why sequential NOAs raising different allegations should be permitted. The FCA also reiterated the flexibility of the abuse of process doctrine by refusing to accept Apotex's argument that there could not be an abuse of process given that the appeal of the Prior Action remains pending. The requirement of finality applies only to issue estoppel. The FCA held that, although requiring all allegations to be raised in the NOA may result in a more complicated proceeding, this would meet the objective of the amendments in 2017 to address all issues in a single action.

BLG

The FCA agreed with the parties that the motion should not be remitted to the FC for reconsideration. Accordingly, the FCA considered and decided the motion. The FCA **concluded that Apotex's defences are "sufficiently unfair that they are contrary to the** interests of justice". Apotex should have raised allegations of invalidity in the Prior Action. The FCA dismissed Apotex's arguments that because the Statement of Claim did not seek a declaration of abuse of process, the motion was ill-founded, finding that the motion was procedural and not substantive. The FCA concluded that the wording of injunctive relief sought by Janssen was appropriate, contrary to Apotex's arguments that it was overbroad.

In conclusion, the FCA allowed the appeal, set aside the FC's judgment on the motion for summary judgment and declared that Apotex's defences are an abuse of process. The FCA granted the relief sought by Janssen, namely the declarations, injunction and costs. Costs of the appeal are to be paid by Apotex.

Par

Chantal Saunders, Beverley Moore

Services

Propriété intellectuelle

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3 T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest Suite 900 Montréal, QC, Canada H3B 5H4 T 514.954.2555

F 514.879.9015

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9 T 613.237.5160 F 613.230.8842

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 4E3 T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415



Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais s.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à <u>desabonnement@blg.com</u> ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans <u>blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe</u>. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à <u>communications@blg.com</u>. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur <u>blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels</u>.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais s.E.N.C.R.L., s.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.