

# Not Every Vote Matters: Non-Arm's Length Parties During Proposals Under the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act

October 17, 2019

FT ENE Canada Inc. (FECI) was in the nanofibre business, and was a wholly owned subsidiary of Finetex ENE Inc. (Finetex). As a result of insolvency difficulties separate and apart from the Canadian business, Finetex was engaged in bankruptcy proceedings in Korea (its home jurisdiction). There was animosity between Finetex and the director of FECI.

To avoid being caught in the Korean proceedings, FECI filed a Notice of Intention to **Make a Proposal with the Ontario Superior Court of Justice**. FECI's outstanding obligations were owed almost exclusively to Finetex. FECI owed \$46,000 to trade creditors, and approximately \$7.5 million to Finetex. The proposal was made on August 2, 2019.

The trade creditors, who would recover 100 cents on the dollar under the proposal, all **voted in favour of the proposal**. **Finetex however voted against it**. While Finetex's vote would have normally been determinative of the outcome of the proposal, the Chair of the meeting of the creditors concluded that Finetex was a non-arm's length party, and **discounted Finetex's vote pursuant to sections 109(6) and 54(3) of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (BIA)**. The proposal therefore passed despite Finetex's opposition.

The proposal trustee brought a motion for the Court to approve the proposal. Finetex opposed the motion, and argued that the Court should use its discretion under section 109(6) of the BIA **to count its vote regardless of the Chair's decision**.

One of the issues before the Court therefore, was whether or not the Court should **exercise its discretion to include Finetex's vote on the proposal**.

The Court began its analysis by reviewing whether Finetex was a non-arm's length party to FECI. The Court noted that the relationship between parties is a question of fact under section 4(4) of the BIA. The Court found that one of the concerns regarding non-arm's length transactions was that they do not reflect the ordinary commercial dealings between parties acting in their own self-interest.

In this instance, while the Court agreed that Finetex had been at odds with the director of FECL, the Court determined that Finetex owned 100% of the outstanding shares of FECL, and as such, it was a non-arm's length party.

Having found that Finetex was a non-arm's length party, the Court then reviewed the factors that guide the Court's exercise of discretion pursuant to section 109(6):

1. The rehabilitation of the debtor;
2. **The rapid and orderly realization of the debtor's property;**
3. The cancellation of preferential payments and revisable transactions;
4. **The fair distribution of the debtor's assets;**
5. The effective business reorganization of companies in financial difficulty;
6. The protection of the public interest; and
7. The person asking for the exercise of judicial discretion must be acting in good faith and have "clean hands."

The Court found that under the circumstances, factors 1-6 weighed in favour of non-interference with the vote. While the Court found that Finetex had clean hands, it was insufficient on its own for the Court to exercise its discretion. Accordingly, the Court **determined that it would not interfere with the Chair's decision to exclude Finetex's vote**, and approved the proposal.

By

[Scott Pollock](#)

Expertise

[Insolvency & Restructuring, Banking & Financial Services, Banking](#)

---

## BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](#)

### BLG Offices

#### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

**Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

**Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.