

# Insolvency insights: Lack of transparency through the court's lens

24 janvier 2024

The case of <u>Anderson v. Westmount Projects Inc., 2023 ABKB 619</u>, dealt with the financial challenges of Westmount Projects Inc. (Westmount), a Calgary-based real estate developer, which led to an insolvency dispute.

Westmount's preexisting financial challenges were exacerbated when a rift ensued between its principal, Mr. Sattar (Sattar), and its primary financial backer, Mr. Anderson (Anderson). This conflict led to substantial creditor claims, notably from Anderson and his entities, reaching a sum of \$24 million. The situation escalated into bankruptcy proceedings, which resulted in a detailed examination of Westmount's finances by the Court of King's Bench of Alberta (the Court). Anderson sought a bankruptcy order against Westmount under the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, R.S.C. 1985, c.B-3, as amended (BIA), alongside a receivership order designating Deloitte Restructuring as the trustee for the purposes of liquidation.

The issues before the Court were whether Westmount owed Anderson an amount exceeding \$1,000, and whether it had committed an act of bankruptcy. Westmount refuted the claims, asserting set-off against Anderson and denying any act of bankruptcy.

# **Background**

In the midst of financial challenges, Sattar partnered with Anderson, who assumed the nominal role of the sole shareholder of Westmount, holding shares in trust for Sattar. Despite this arrangement, Sattar continued to oversee day-to-day operations while Anderson provided personal guarantees for Westmount's obligations. Anderson received compensation in the form of a fee. The partners' relationship started to strain in mid-2022, when Anderson ceased providing guarantees and registered mortgages against select Westmount properties. On March 29, 2023, shares of several properties were transferred to the Westmount Family Trust.

The transfer of shares triggered a dispute wherein Anderson initiated legal action to enforce mortgages and recover on certain indemnities. Anderson also asserted that the transfer of properties to the Westmount Family Trust constituted a fraudulent preference. Westmount countered by contesting the validity of the mortgages, denying



indemnification, and claiming breaches of fiduciary obligation. Westmount argued that the amount sought in Anderson's claim should be set off against Westmount's counterclaim.

## Issues

The case presented substantial legal issues:

- 1. **Complex debt evaluation**: The Court grappled with deciphering an extensive list of creditor claims. The key was to distinguish between liquidated claims and disputed claims, necessitating a precise understanding of Westmount's financial intricacies.
- 2. **Alleged acts of bankruptcy**: The Court scrutinized three distinct acts of bankruptcy: (i) fraudulent preference, (ii) permitted seizure of property, and (iii) ceasing to meet liabilities generally as they became due.
- 3. **Validity of Anderson 's mortgages**: The Court had to comprehensively evaluate the contested mortgages, requiring a thorough examination of their potential impact on the financially distressed company.

# Analysis of the insolvency proceedings

The Court ruled that Anderson's evidence of bank statements and ledgers to support his debt claim was valid. It was established that Westmount owed more than \$1,000 in liquidated debt, thereby meeting the BIA requirements. However, the Court clarified that this finding did not negate Westmount's claimed set-off.

On the matter of fraudulent preference, the Court highlighted that the Westmount Family Trust, under Sattar's father's control, lacked evidence of consideration in return. Westmount failed to dispute this lack of consideration or provide an explanation for the transfer. The Court concluded that Westmount's refusal to answer questions about the share transfers permitted an adverse inference, ruling the transfer as prima facie fraudulent preference pursuant to section 42 of the BIA.

On the issue of the permitted seizure issue, the Court noted that Westmount received demand letters from Canadian Western Bank (CWB) concerning a debt guaranteed by Anderson, and included security over certain vehicles and other chattels. Sattar sold the secured vehicles and paid CWB almost half of their outstanding amount. CWB obtained an order to auction the secured vehicles or to allow civil enforcement agents to seize them. The Court stated that there was insufficient evidence as to the events following the order, making it unable to rule on whether Westmount permitted seizure under section 42 of the BIA. However, Sattar's acknowledgement of the debt to CWB supported Westmount's inability to meet liabilities generally as they become due.

On the issue of meeting liabilities as they generally become due, the Court emphasized that the payment of utilities, insurance, and taxes was insufficient to demonstrate the ability to meet liabilities amidst a line of secured creditors. Westmount's failure to provide details about its financial condition led the Court to rule that it had not met its onus to show its ability to meet liabilities as they become due.



## Conclusion

The Court declared Westmount bankrupt and appointed Deloitte Restructuring as trustee in bankruptcy. With respect to Westmount's counterclaim, the Court determined that the validity of Anderson's mortgages was best left to the trustee to determine.

# **Takeaway**

This case underscores the importance of prioritizing transparent financial practices and maintaining robust financial transparency, especially during periods of financial distress. Courts do not respond well to a lack of transparency during insolvency proceedings. A debtor who provides ambiguous details about debt obligations and financial transactions faces considerable challenges.

## Contact us

For more information on the topics covered in this article or to obtain assistance with insolvency matters, please reach out to the authors or any of the key contacts below. Read more about BLG's <a href="Insolvency & Restructuring group">Insolvency & Restructuring group</a>.

Par

Kevin Barr, Farrukh Ahmad

**Services** 

Services bancaires et financiers, Insolvabilité et restructuration, Services financiers

#### **BLG** | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

### blg.com

#### **Bureaux BLG**

#### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

#### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160 F 613.230.8842

#### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415



#### Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest Suite 900

Montréal, QC, Canada

H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555 F 514.879.9015

#### **Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais s.e.n.c.r.l. s.r.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais s.E.N.C.R.L., s.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.