

Existence of Disputed Facts Derails Summary Judgment

09 août 2019

Summary judgment can be an effective pre-trial mechanism to dispose of an action. The outcome for the moving party may be: a) full or partial dismissal of the action; b) reverse summary judgment; or c) refusal to grant summary judgment. The recent Ontario Superior Court decision of [Hoddle v. Gelata, 2019 ONSC 4047](#) illustrates the latter outcome where the existence of material disputed facts does not favour summary judgment.

Background

The underlying action dealt with a fall from the roof of a commercial self-storage facility. The defendant resided at the premises. The plaintiff went to visit the defendant at the premises. They decided to go out for a smoke and chose to do so on the roof of the building. The court later found that the defendant occupier invited the plaintiff to the roof. The parties entered the roof through a door that could not be opened from the outside. The plaintiff later either fell or jumped from the roof in attempting to leave the roof, and sued the defendant.

The defendant occupiers in this case moved for summary judgment. They argued that there was no genuine liability issue requiring trial.

Disputed Facts

The parties advanced different versions of events about their individual levels of intoxication prior to venturing on the roof and what occurred after discovering that the **door could not be opened from the outside. The defendant's version of events was that** he attempted to open the door as he had done on other occasions, while the plaintiff jumped from the roof. The plaintiff said that her foot slipped as she walked to the edge of the roof looking for alternate ways to exit the roof.

The parties also gave differing evidence about how long they were trapped on the roof. **The court preferred the plaintiff's evidence and found that the parties were likely trapped** for longer than the 45 minutes suggested by the defendant. Further, the court disagreed with the defendant that the plaintiff was responsible for her injuries when she ventured

away from the door, to the edge of the roof. The court found it unreasonable to expect the plaintiff to refrain from looking around to locate another exit in the circumstances.

The court also considered an engineering expert report adduced by the plaintiff. The report concluded that there may have been two potential Ontario Building Code breaches with the premises: not having a guard around the perimeter of the roof and not having an alternative reasonable means to get off the roof, in addition to the door. While it is not clear if the defendants had the opportunity to cross-examine the engineering expert, it would seem that this report gave further support to the finding that there were genuine liability issues militating against the matter being decided via summary judgment.

Par

[Natalie D. Kolos, Edona C. Vila](#)

Services

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.