

Firms that use AI systems for any purpose: It's time to make a to-do list

January 10, 2025

Through the publication of CSA <u>Staff Notice and Consultation 11-348</u> Applicability of Canadian Securities Laws and the Use of Artificial Intelligence Systems in Capital Markets (the AI Staff Notice), the Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA) have put registered firms on notice that using AI in virtually any fashion may trigger additional regulatory expectations to demonstrate compliance with securities laws.

Best practices for the responsible use of Al

All firms should consider whether any of the following are applicable to them based on the wide- sweeping Al Staff Notice which expands on the previously <u>articulated best practices for the responsible use of Al by asset managers</u>:

- Implement policies and procedures tailored to the type of AI and to the purpose for which the AI system is being used.
- Implement necessary controls and supervision, which may include the need for capital markets-related AI expertise.
- Deliver clear and meaningful disclosure of any use of AI systems that may directly affect the registerable services provided to clients and any associated risks.
- Investment Fund Managers (IFMs) should consider the very specific discussion in the AI Staff Notice pertaining to fund managers. This includes guidance around required disclosure in a fund's offering documents if the use of AI systems assists in meeting the fund's investment objectives and strategies; exercising caution when describing the use of AI in sales communications; and the need to address the unique risks of the use of AI systems in risk factors.
- All registrants should note that the continued applicability of securities regulation (including oversight, documentation, conflicts of interest, etc.) does not change based on the use of Al systems. It is the activity being conducted, not the technology itself, that is regulated.

No matter what you use Al systems for, there 's a to-do for you



There are a wide range of potential AI uses by registered firms, but every kind of AI system used requires consideration in light of the AI Staff Notice which sets out a sliding scale of obligations.

Al systems being used to assist with or carry out registerable activity (which is broadly defined) are either (i) unlikely to be considered compliant with securities laws if the Al purports to substitute investment decision-making for a client, or (ii) will trigger more robust policies, controls, supervision and disclosure as compared with those Al systems used to gain operational efficiency, such as supporting back-office tasks.

Nonetheless, the use of any AI system triggers the CSA's expectations that firms will implement policies and procedures, be mindful of the risks of employing AI, and have governance systems to address these risks, at a minimum.

Key concepts to keep in mind for your 2025 AI regulatory refresh

Oversight

- The use of AI systems must be overseen by registrants who have ultimate responsibility and accountability for their obligations towards clients. These include:
 - o the duty to act fairly, honestly and in good faith in dealing with clients;
 - compliance with securities regulatory requirements regardless of the type of technology employed to meet those requirements; and
 - responsibility for all functions outsourced to a service provider.
- Oversight may require establishing or enhancing AI-specific policies, controls, supervision, and human verification of sample processes/outputs. The CSA state that outsourcing services based on or enhanced by AI systems is likely to require employees or professional advisers with specialized skills in this area, as well as an understanding of registrant conduct requirements. This could potentially further hamper registration-related issues with the regulators in a tight labour market for individuals with requisite investment management proficiency and relevant investment management experience.
- Governance and risk management are critical aspects of AI oversight and should be drafted to account for the unique features and risks of AI systems.
 Governance is an area of critical importance in AI regulation and may require additional consideration by registrants.

Explainability

- Because registrants remain ultimately responsible for the decisions they make
 and for understanding the systems they use, the quality of data being used by Al
 is critically important. Incorrect, incomplete, or biased data can result in failure to
 meet the standard of conduct and can result in material conflicts of interest that
 must be identified, managed, controlled for, and disclosed.
- While certain AI systems are more capable than others, the CSA advise that registrants must balance the need for advanced capabilities against the need for



- explainability (i.e., the ability to understand the functioning of and explain the output of a given AI system).
- Record keeping obligations are not negated due to the use of AI systems and so, firms should consider how and whether the use of AI will allow them to meet the record keeping requirements under National Instrument 31-103. In certain instances, we foresee record retention matters posing issues and/or undermining the efficiency gained by the ability to use AI this should form part of a firm's careful initial consideration of the risks and benefits of using AI for a particular purpose.

Disclosure

- Not dissimilar to the CSA's evolving guidance on ESG-related investment fund disclosure, the AI Staff Notice is squarely focused on the completeness, accuracy, and importance of disclosure to investors about the use of AI systems that does not result in "AI washing" (or making embellished, inaccurate, or misleading claims about a firm's use of AI). Note that the CSA may treat concerns about "AI washing" in the same manner they did "greenwashing" in the context of ESG disclosure and engage in a review of disclosure for the purposes of ensuring this is not the case. Firms making claims about their use of AI will want to carefully review that disclosure for accuracy and completeness.
- There is a sliding scale of disclosure required based on the materiality of the Al system being used and by which type of registrant for which purpose. IFMs should give careful consideration to the ways in which offering documents and other disclosure are treated in the Al Staff Notice.
- The CSA believe that investor interest in AI requires robust disclosure, though guery what level of disclosure will be useful to a broad swath of investors.
- We predict that conflicts of interest arising out of the use of AI will be of increasing importance to regulators. These types of conflicts will be especially targeted in any AI Staff Notice-related sweeps.

Additional tidbits

- All system use may trigger the requirement to file a change of primary business, target market or products and services offered by firms if the All use may directly affect registerable services provided to clients.
- CSA Staff will consider the risks and benefits of a firm adopting AI for a particular purpose when a firm is applying for, or updating, registration information. Firms should be prepared to provide detailed information about the use of AI, noting that CSA Staff has signalled they may impose tailored terms and conditions on a firm's registration, depending on the nature of the use of AI systems.
- Trade execution, KYC, onboarding, and client support may be accomplished through the assistance of AI, all with specific considerations and boundaries.
- In certain narrow situations, AI may be used to make automated decisions that
 are automatically executed with human oversight but will be considered highly
 problematic in the context of suitability and trading decisions unless there is a
 high degree of explainability, oversight, controls, et cetera. The CSA urge
 consultation with Staff prior to the intended use of AI in these circumstances,
 signalling a pre-approval approach to the adoption of such systems.



Assess your next steps and consider providing stakeholder feedback

Firms using AI should consider whether their policies and procedures, compliance manuals, registration information forms, conflicts of interest inventories and disclosures, relationship disclosure information, offering documents and sales communications require updates in light of the AI Staff Notice.

Please contact your usual BLG lawyer for assistance in assessing the impact of the Al Staff Notice on your firm or for ways we can assist your firm submitting responses to the consultation portion of the Staff Notice.

There are 10 questions in the consultation, presenting an opportunity to voice important stakeholder feedback on the AI Staff Notice and avenues for further tailoring or modifying the CSA's approach to oversight and regulation of AI systems. Comments are due on March 31, 2025.

By

Jason Brooks, Melissa Ghislanzoni, Kimberly Poster, Chris von Boetticher

Expertise

Investment Management, Artificial Intelligence (AI)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower 520 3rd Avenue S.W. Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500 F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160 F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre 200 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC, Canada V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744 F 604.687.1415



Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West

Suite 900

Montréal, QC, Canada

H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555 F 514.879.9015 Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower 22 Adelaide Street West Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.