

Competing "Other Insurance Clauses": Can One Trump the Other?

21 janvier 2019

TD General Insurance Company v. Intact Insurance Company, 2019 ONCA 5, the Court of Appeal considered whether one insurer's "other insurance clause" could trump the other's. Both policies provided coverage for the loss and contained identically worded clauses making their policies excess to any other insurance which applied to the loss. The Court found that the identical clauses made the two policies irreconcilable and so the two insurers must share the burden equally under a coordinate obligation to make good the loss.

The Loss, Policies and Lower Court's Decision

The loss in this case arose out of a boating accident. The injured passenger sued the driver of the boat who was covered by two policies of insurance – the boat owner's TD policy and the driver's own homeowner's policy, issued by Intact. Both policies contained an "other insurance clause" that provided:

If you have other insurance which applies to a loss or claim, or would have applied if this policy did not exist, this policy will be considered excess insurance and we will not pay any loss or claim until the amount of such other insurance is used up.

TD brought an application seeking an order that both insurance companies were on an equal footing and had to share equally in the defence and indemnity of the driver.

In the lower court decision, the court found that although both policies provided coverage for this loss, TD exhibited a clear intention to treat its policy as the primary insurance due to its specific reference to the boat in question thus making it the "closest to the risk".

The Court Of Appeal Disagrees and Sets Out the Framework

The Court of Appeal disagreed with this approach. In applying the principles of the **Supreme Court's decision in Family Insurance Corp. v. Lombard Canada Ltd.**, 2002 SCC 48, the Panel set out the following two-part analysis:

1. Is there overlapping coverage?

It must first be confirmed that both policies provide coverage for the loss at issue. If a policy does not provide coverage, then there is no need to consider competing "other insurance clauses." In this case, both policies provided coverage.

1. Did the insurers intended to limit their obligation to contribute, by what method, and in what circumstances vis-à-vis the insured?

The focus in answering this question is on the excess insurance clauses. Both policies, by their terms, afforded primary coverage to the driver for the loss, and both policies provided that they were excess to other insurance that covered the loss thus the limiting obligations in the policies were irreconcilable.

The Panel ultimately concluded that the two insurers must share the burden of defence and indemnity of the driver equally.

Par

[Sarah Sweet](#)

Services

[Contestation de réclamations d'assurance. Plaidoirie en appel](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.