

# What gives the Agency the right (to be heard on appeal)? Lessons from *WestJet v Lareau*

22 juillet 2024

The Federal Court of Appeal (“Court”) in *WestJet v Lareau*, 2024 FCA 77 (“Lareau”) recently determined whether, and to what extent, subsection 41(4) (“S. 41(4)”) of the Canada Transportation Act (“CTA”) grants the Canadian Transportation Agency (“Agency”) the right to be heard on appeals from its own decisions. In addition, the Court delineated the boundaries of permitted participation and discussed the Court’s oversight role.

## Section 41(4) gives the Agency the right to be heard in appeals from its decisions

S. 41(4) provides simply that the “Agency is entitled to be heard by counsel or otherwise on the argument of an appeal.” The Court confirmed S. 41(4) provides the Agency the right, without leave, to participate by (a) filing memoranda, (b) making oral submissions, or (c) both<sup>1</sup>. However, these privileges are not equivalent to those of respondents or intervenors unless the Court expressly grants them.<sup>2</sup>

Traditionally, Canadian administrative decision-makers (“ADMs”) are not afforded the right to be heard when their decisions are under appeal. However, as the Court noted, the CTA is unusual. Unlike most administrative regimes, S. 41(4) grants the Agency such a right. This reflects Parliament’s intention, which prevails over any inconsistent judge-made law.<sup>3</sup>

## Limits to the Agency’s participation

The Court clarified that, while S. 41(4) guarantees the Agency’s participation in appeals, participation remains subject to the Court’s common law discretion to prevent, restrain, or regulate the Agency’s submissions. In doing so, the Court confirmed its authority to balance the competing objectives of a fully informed adjudication and the maintenance of tribunal impartiality.<sup>4</sup>

## Scope of inappropriate submissions

The Court identified certain general circumstances in which the Agency will be seen to have overstepped the bounds of appropriate participation, including where the Agency:

1. “aggressively” advocates for its initial position;<sup>5</sup>
2. engages in “bootstrapping”, a practice whereby ADMs supplement their initial decision with new reasons during the appeal process;<sup>6</sup>
3. makes submissions that, in substance or tone, “go too far” and impugn the Agency’s ability to decide the matter if remitted for redetermination;<sup>7</sup> and
4. on a question of statutory interpretation, makes submissions that go beyond providing “helpful information” by offering a “particular view of how the statute should be interpreted”.<sup>8</sup>

Each of these circumstances are examples of inappropriate submissions requiring Court intervention. In *Lareau*, the Court concluded the Agency’s written submissions exceeded helpful information, instead offering a particular view of how the CTA should be interpreted.

## Remedies to inappropriate submissions

To address inappropriate submissions, the Court confirmed it has “the full armory of remedies” available to it as are generally available in an administrative appeal, including:

1. declining to remit a matter to the Agency (a) because no other outcome is available, or (b) for another compelling public interest reason;<sup>9</sup>
2. remitting the matter to the Agency with a mandamus order forcing it to make a particular decision on the merits;<sup>10</sup>
3. awarding costs;<sup>11</sup> and
4. allowing the parties more time for oral argument than might otherwise have been provided.<sup>12</sup>

Redetermination remains the norm.

In *Lareau*, the Court determined the appropriate remedy for the Agency’s inappropriate submissions was to provide WestJet more time for oral argument. The Court reasoned that, because the issue on appeal was pure statutory interpretation, any concerns about impartiality would be assuaged as the Court would supply the correct interpretation of the statute, leaving the Agency to apply that interpretation to the facts before it.<sup>13</sup>

## Conclusion

The Court confirmed that, under S. 41(4), the Agency has the right to be heard on appeals from its decisions. However, this right is subject to the Court’s discretion to constrain the Agency’s participation, thus safeguarding the appearance and reality of impartiality.

Future cases on the subject will assist in understanding the boundaries of proper Agency participation on appeal, for example providing further description and/or specific examples of Agency advocacy the Court considers “aggressive” or which “go too far” in substance or tone.

In the interim, we anticipate the Agency will be following the Court’s guidance to “proceed with restraint and caution”<sup>14</sup> in participating in appeals from its own decisions.

A previous version of this article was published in July 2024 issue of The Transportation Lawyer, the joint publication of the [Canadian Transport Lawyers Association](#) and the [Transportation Lawyers Association](#)

## Footnotes

<sup>1</sup> [Westjet v Lareau](#), 2024 FCA 77 [“Westjet v Lareau”] at paras. 24-26.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid at para. 24.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid at paras. 19, 25.

<sup>4</sup> [Ontario \(Energy Board\) v Ontario Power Generation Inc.](#), 2015 SCC 44 [“Ontario Power Generation”] at para. 57.

<sup>5</sup> Westjet v Lareau at para. 14.

<sup>6</sup> Ibid at para. 17.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid at para. 32.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid at para 38.

<sup>9</sup> Ibid at para. 32.

<sup>10</sup> Ibid at paras. 32 and 34.

<sup>11</sup> Ibid at para. 35.

<sup>12</sup> Ibid at para. 36.

<sup>13</sup> Ibid at para. 39.

<sup>14</sup> Ibid at paras. 11-13.

### Par

[Elizabeth Fashler, Jack Goranson](#)

### Services

[Litiges, Litige relatif au droit public, Plaidoirie en appel, Transports, Industrie ferroviaire, Infrastructures, Aviation](#)

## BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

### Bureaux BLG

#### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

#### Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

#### Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à [desabonnement@blg.com](mailto:desabonnement@blg.com) ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans [blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe](http://blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe). Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur [blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels](http://blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels).

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.