

SCC decision in Nevsun a warning for Canadian companies operating overseas

04 mars 2020

In its February 28, 2020 decision *Nevsun Resources Ltd. v. Araya*, the majority of the Supreme Court of Canada (the Court) dismissed a motion to strike a proceeding started against Nevsun Resources Ltd. (Nevsun) for actions that took place in Eritrea, opening the door for litigation in Canada to hold corporations civilly liable for breaches of international human rights law. This decision has important implications for Canadian companies operating in foreign jurisdictions.

Background

Nevsun brought a motion to strike pleadings filed in British Columbia by three Eritrean workers who claim they were conscripted through their military service to work at **Nevsun's Bisha mine in Eritrea, which is operated under a joint venture with an Eritrean state-owned enterprise.**

The workers sought damages for breaches of customary international law prohibitions **against "forced labour, slavery, cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment and crimes against humanity," along with damages for recognized domestic torts including "conversion, battery, unlawful confinement, conspiracy and negligence."**

Nevsun brought a motion to strike the pleadings on the basis that (i) the act of state doctrine precludes domestic courts from addressing the sovereign acts of a foreign government, and (ii) the claims based on customary international law have no reasonable prospect of success. Both the Supreme Court of British Columbia and the British Columbia Court of Appeal denied the motion to strike.

Majority decision

The majority of the Court dismissed Nevsun's appeal.

The Court found that the act of state doctrine is not part of Canadian common law. When asked to rule upon the acts of foreign states, Canadian courts have taken a distinct approach, relying on both conflict of law principles and judicial restraint. The act of state doctrine therefore has no application to the matter.

The Court also found that customary international law is incorporated automatically into Canadian law, without the need for specific legislative action. As a result, it is not “plain and obvious” that domestic law cannot recognize a remedy for a breach of customary international law by a corporation. The Court did not determine whether a remedy would be created through the development of tort law, and did not clarify whether a breach of customary international law could form a distinct source of private liability.

Dissenting decisions

The dissenting justices disagreed with the majority’s approach to customary international law claims, finding that civil claims based on customary international law are bound to fail. The dissenting justices found that it was “plain and obvious” that corporations are excluded from direct liability under customary international law, and that it is up to each state to create applicable remedies to deal with prohibitions under customary international law. Finally, the dissenting justices held that the common law test for the creation of novel torts was not met, given that alternative and adequate remedies already exist under Canadian law for the harm alleged.

Next steps and implications

The case will now return to the Supreme Court of British Columbia. The court will hear the merits of the plaintiff’s case and determine if the facts justify findings of breaches of customary international law and, if so, the appropriate remedies.

The majority’s decision leaves the scope and application of customary international law norms to private individuals, including corporations, somewhat ambiguous. The decision provides no guidance regarding standards of liability, or whether the liability would be grounded under established common law torts or a new head of liability based on customary international law.

However, the decision shows a willingness of the Court to address questions regarding the application of international human rights law against Canadian corporations carrying on business abroad. Moving forward, companies doing business in foreign jurisdictions will have to exercise extreme caution and conduct enhanced due diligence to ensure that they are not implicated in human rights abuses by their foreign operations, subsidiaries and partners.

Par

[Salvador Pimentel](#)

Services

[Commerce international et investissements, Mines](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.