

# Court Of Appeal Rejects The Existence Of A Tort Of Harassment

18 mars 2019

The Court of Appeal for Ontario has denied the existence of a freestanding tort of harassment.

The plaintiff, a long-standing member of a police force, alleged that his superiors made discriminatory decisions about him over a period of several years following his decision to run for office. The plaintiff claimed that he was subjected to harassment and bullying at work, which damaged his reputation, prejudiced his career opportunities, and caused him to suffer severe emotional distress. The plaintiff commenced an action against the police force and his superiors seeking damages for, among other things, harassment and intentional infliction of mental distress.

At first instance, the trial judge accepted the plaintiff's position that the tort of harassment exists as a recognized cause of action in Ontario.<sup>1</sup> The defendants appealed.

In allowing the appeal, the Court of Appeal held that the trial judge erred in concluding that the tort of harassment exists in Ontario. In fact, the Court found that none of the cases relied upon by the plaintiff established the existence of the tort, noting that the 2006 decision of the Supreme Court of British Columbia cited by the trial judge specifically concluded that it is "unclear" whether the tort of harassment exists in Canada. Further, the Court held there was no basis for recognizing a new tort of civil harassment at this time, noting that it was not provided with any foreign judicial authority, academic authority, or compelling policy rationale for doing so.

Further, this was not a case where the facts "cr[ie]d out for the creation of a novel legal remedy". Rather, the Court highlighted the existing legal remedies that already address harassing conduct, including the well-established tort of intentional infliction of mental suffering, which requires a plaintiff to prove "flagrant and outrageous" conduct that is "calculated to produce harm" and which results in a "visible and provable illness". The Court went on to find that the trial judge erred in determining that the facts satisfied the test for the tort of intentional infliction of mental suffering.

While the Court has not foreclosed the possibility that the tort may be established in the future, the Court has clearly indicated its preference for parties to rely on the clearly recognized tort of intentional infliction of mental suffering.

Moreover, in refusing to recognize the proposed tort of harassment, the Court has expressed a strong preference that the common law continue to evolve "slowly and incrementally rather than quickly and dramatically". In doing so, the Court referenced and distinguished its 2012 decision of *Jones v. Tsige* (Tsige), which recognized the existence of a tort of "intrusion upon seclusion" as being an incremental step consistent with societal and legislative changes. In Tsige, the Court did not simply create a new tort as it was asked to do in respect of the proposed tort of harassment. Overall, this decision clearly signals that the Court will be slow and cautious when asked to recognize a new cause of action.

1 For more about the Superior Court of Ontario decision, [see our bulletin from June 2017](#).

**Par**

[Natalie Salafia](#)

**Services**

[Litiges, Plaidoirie en appel, Contestation de réclamations d'assurance](#)

---

**BLG | Vos avocats au Canada**

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 800 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

**Bureaux BLG**

**Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

**Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

**Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

**Montréal**

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

**Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à [desabonnement@blg.com](mailto:desabonnement@blg.com) ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans [blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe](http://blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe). Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur [blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels](http://blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels).

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.