

Lack of evidence of non-use and confusion result in POKEWORKS trademark remaining on the register

21 mai 2020

The Court has found that the applicant Beyond Restaurant Group LLC (Beyond Restaurant) did not meet its evidentiary burden to establish, on a balance of probabilities, that the word mark POKEWORKS was not used, was not distinctive of the owner, or that the owner made any material misstatements when declaring that the trademark had been used in Canada.

The application hearing was held *ex parte*, because Beyond Restaurant was unable to find a current address for the trademark owner Wang and previously had obtained an order for substitutional service. In support of its application to declare the word mark invalid pursuant to section 57 of the Trademarks Act, Beyond Restaurant filed affidavits of its client, a private investigator and a legal assistant.

The Court found that Beyond Restaurant operates a chain of POKEWORKS restaurants in the United States and one in Canada. Beyond Restaurant applied for the same trademark in Canada, after Wang had applied for it, but before Wang filed a declaration of use.

The Court first held that Beyond Restaurant was a person interested for the purpose of the application. The Court then reviewed the evidence to determine whether the trademark was distinctive. Beyond Restaurant hired a private investigator to determine whether Wang had made use of the trademark, but the investigator was unable to do so. The Court noted a lack of detail for the internet searches that were conducted, making it difficult to ascertain the breadth, scope and reasonableness of steps taken.

Furthermore, the private investigator's search was undertaken in April 2018, which was over a year prior to the material date of July 22, 2019, such that the trademark may have potentially been used in that time period. The Court was aware of the difficulty in having to prove a negative, but still held that the evidence of non-use fell short.

Beyond Restaurant's evidence to show confusion with its own use of the trademark included articles in publications and social media, but this was not found to be relevant to Canada because the evidence was from the United States and it lacked details of

spill-over circulation or whether Canadians were interacting with their U.S.-based social media online.

Finally, while Wang filed a declaration of use, the lack of evidence showing whether the trademark was used before filing, or even at all, meant that the Court was unable to find a material misstatement that would invalidate the registration of the trade mark.

Consequently, the Court dismissed the application to strike the trademark from the register.

Par

Services

[Propriété intellectuelle, Litiges, Litige en matière de propriété intellectuelle](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 800 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir soupesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.