

Decisions by Hospital Committees Can Be Reviewed by the Courts

28 avril 2016

The Divisional Court has held that decisions of hospital committees can be subject to judicial review by the courts. If committee decisions are not reasonable, or if the process they follow is not procedurally fair, the courts can intervene.

The applicants in *Asa et al. v. University Health Network*¹ **were researchers and authors** in the field of endocrine oncology. They had been engaged in clinical practice and medical research at the respondent hospital (the "Hospital") for many years. Their research was widely-published. The Hospital had a research policy which defined "research misconduct" as including falsification, fabrication and material non-compliance with accepted standards and regulations. The policy also set out a 2-step process for addressing allegations of research misconduct: 1) an inquiry is launched to determine if there are "reasonable grounds to proceed to an investigation"; and 2) if there are sufficient grounds to proceed, a formal investigation committee is formed. According to the policy, decisions of the investigation committee could be appealed to the CEO of the hospital.

The Hospital received a complaint involving certain papers written by the applicants. The Hospital determined that the allegations had enough merit to launch a formal investigation. The Hospital informed the applicant researchers that an investigation committee of three scientists would be investigating the allegations of research misconduct. In the course of the investigation, the applicants had an opportunity to make oral and written submissions, and to respond to the committee's draft report. Although there was no formal oral hearing, the applicants were represented by a lawyer throughout the investigation.

After a 22-month investigation, the committee released a final report. It found, among other things, that the applicants had committed research misconduct. They found that the applicants had falsified data, fabricated data, and had not complied with accepted standards. The committee decided that in light of the extent and duration of the policy violations, the applicants' research activities would be suspended.

The applicants appealed to the hospital's CEO. The CEO upheld the committee's decision.

The applicants went to court. They filed an application for judicial review.

One of the threshold issues was whether or not the decision was even subject to review by the courts. The Hospital argued that the courts had no oversight over this type of decision. The court disagreed. It held that the decision was of a sufficient public character to be reviewable by the courts. The standard the court would apply in reviewing the decision was whether the decision was reasonable. In other words, although the court would afford some deference and discretion to the hospital, it has the power to intervene if the decision was not reasonable. In addition, the court held that the process followed by the court must be procedurally fair and that the court can intervene if the process is not fair.

In the result, the court found that part of the decision was reasonable, but other parts were not. Although it was reasonable to find that there had been material non-**compliance with certain research standards, it was unreasonable to find that there had** been falsification and fabrication. The evidence before the committee did not support that conclusion. The court quashed that part of the decision, and sent the matter back to the Hospital committee to reconsider what sanction would be appropriate in light of the more limited findings.

With respect to the issue of procedural fairness, the court found that the applicants were awarded the procedural fairness to which they were entitled. A formal hearing was not required as the applicants were given appropriate opportunities to respond.

This case is important for hospitals. It cautions that internal committee decisions of hospitals can be reviewed by the courts. It is critical to ensure that when committees are making decisions, their processes are procedurally fair, and their decisions are reasonable.

1 Asa et al. v. University Health Network, 2016 ONSC 439

Par

Services

[Droit de la santé, Soins de santé et sciences de la vie](#)

BLG | Vos avocats au Canada

Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. (BLG) est le plus grand cabinet d'avocats canadien véritablement multiservices. À ce titre, il offre des conseils juridiques pratiques à des clients d'ici et d'ailleurs dans plus de domaines et de secteurs que tout autre cabinet canadien. Comptant plus de 725 avocats, agents de propriété intellectuelle et autres professionnels, BLG répond aux besoins juridiques d'entreprises et d'institutions au pays comme à l'étranger pour ce qui touche les fusions et acquisitions, les marchés financiers, les différends et le financement ou encore l'enregistrement de brevets et de marques de commerce.

blg.com

Bureaux BLG

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000, rue De La Gauchetière Ouest
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

Les présents renseignements sont de nature générale et ne sauraient constituer un avis juridique, ni un énoncé complet de la législation pertinente, ni un avis sur un quelconque sujet. Personne ne devrait agir ou s'abstenir d'agir sur la foi de ceux-ci sans procéder à un examen approfondi du droit après avoir sopesé les faits d'une situation précise. Nous vous recommandons de consulter votre conseiller juridique si vous avez des questions ou des préoccupations particulières. BLG ne garantit aucunement que la teneur de cette publication est exacte, à jour ou complète. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite sans l'autorisation écrite de Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Si BLG vous a envoyé cette publication et que vous ne souhaitez plus la recevoir, vous pouvez demander à faire supprimer vos coordonnées de nos listes d'envoi en communiquant avec nous par courriel à desabonnement@blg.com ou en modifiant vos préférences d'abonnement dans blg.com/fr/about-us/subscribe. Si vous pensez avoir reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous écrire à communications@blg.com. Pour consulter la politique de confidentialité de BLG relativement aux publications, rendez-vous sur blg.com/fr/ProtectionDesRenseignementsPersonnels.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L. Borden Ladner Gervais est une société à responsabilité limitée de l'Ontario.