

Ten principles for the responsible use of artificial intelligence (AI) by Québec public bodies

November 21, 2024

This article was originally published on August 29, 2024.

The year 2024 is a pivotal year for artificial intelligence regulation around the world with the enactment of the European Union's [Artificial Intelligence Act](#), which is already pictured as the golden standard, not unlike what the [General Data Protection Regulation](#) had previously achieved.

Canada showed leadership of its own in 2022 with the introduction of [Bill C-27](#) and its proposed Artificial Intelligence and Data Act (AIDA). However, since its introduction, the bill's legislative progress through the House of Commons has been particularly slow; the prospect of federal elections in just over a year now calls into question the bill's very future.

Where does Québec stand on this issue?

The state of AI regulation in Québec

Despite the absence of a specific bill regulating AI at the provincial level, Québec has not been standing idle. In fact, Québec is a pioneer in the field of AI governance and ethics, having adopted the [Montréal Declaration for a Responsible Development of Artificial Intelligence](#) in 2018. The result of an important citizen co-construction process, the Montréal Declaration provides an ethical framework for the development and deployment of AI based on 10 principles: well-being, autonomy, privacy and intimacy, solidarity, democracy, equity, inclusion, prudence, responsibility and a sustainable environment.

More recently, in Feb. 2024, [the Conseil de l'innovation du Québec tabled a report](#) listing 12 recommendations, including one urging the government to adopt framework legislation that would regulate the development and deployment of AI throughout society.

Statement of Principles for the Responsible Use of Artificial Intelligence by Public Bodies

The latest innovation in the regulation of AI in Québec comes from the Ministère de la Cybersécurité et du Numérique (MCN), which has adopted, under section 21 of the [Act respecting the governance and management of information resources of public bodies and government enterprises](#), a [Statement of Principles for the Responsible Use of Artificial Intelligence by Public Bodies](#) (available in French only).

The 10 guiding principles established by the MCN to guide the use of AI by public bodies are:

- **Respect for individuals and the rule of law** : Responsible use of AI systems must respect the rule of law, individual rights and freedoms, the law and the values of Québec's public administration.¹ More specifically, public bodies must ensure that AI systems' learning data and other data are lawfully collected, used, and disclosed, taking into account applicable privacy rights. For example, the [Act respecting Access to documents held by public bodies and the Protection of personal information](#) provides for the requirement to produce a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) for the acquisition or development of an AI solution that involves the collection, use and disclosure of personal information.
- **Inclusion and equity** : Responsible use of AI systems must aim to meet the needs of Québecers with regard to public services, while promoting diversity and inclusion. Any AI system must therefore minimize the risks and inconveniences for the population, and avoid causing a digital divide. Staff members of public bodies must be able to benefit from the necessary support through the introduction of mechanisms and tools, particularly when jobs stand to be transformed by technological advances.
- **Reliability and robustness** : Measures must be taken to verify the reliability and robustness of AI systems. Remedial and control measures must also be put in place to ensure that these systems operate in a stable and consistent manner, even in the presence of new disturbances or scenarios. Data quality is a key element in addressing the reliability and robustness of an AI system; namely, ensuring that the data is accurate and free of bias that can pose risks, cause harm, or reinforce various forms of discrimination.
- **Security** : Responsible use of AI systems must comply with information security obligations. Security measures must be put in place to limit the risks involved and adequately protect the information concerned.
- **Efficiency, effectiveness and relevance** : Responsible use of AI systems should enable citizens and businesses to benefit from simplified, integrated and high-quality public services. The use of such systems should also aim at optimal management of information resources and public services. For example, an organization can demonstrate its adherence to this principle with an opportunity case that shows how AI is critical to solving a problem or improving a process.
- **Sustainability** : Responsible use of AI systems must be part of a sustainable development approach. For example, an organization can demonstrate its adherence to the principle by conducting an assessment of the environmental impacts of its AI project.
- **Transparency** : Public bodies should clearly inform citizens and businesses about the nature and scope of AI systems, and disclose when they are used, so as to

promote public trust in these tools. For example, an organization can demonstrate its adherence to the principle by providing signage to indicate to users that the service they receive is generated by an AI system.

- **Explainability** : Responsible use of AI systems means providing citizens and businesses with a clear and unambiguous explanation of decisions, predictions, or actions concerning them. The explanation should provide an understanding of the interactions and their implications for a decision or outcome.
- **Responsibility** : The use of AI systems entails responsibility, including responsibility for their proper functioning. Putting in place control measures and adequate governance, including human oversight or validation, will contribute to this.
- **Competence** : Public body employees must be made aware of the standards of use, best practices, and issues that may arise throughout the life cycle of AI systems in the performance of their duties, in addition to fostering the development of their digital skills. Teams dedicated to the design and development of solutions targeting these systems must develop cutting-edge expertise if they are to enable the intended delivery of simplified, integrated, and quality services by a public administration. For example, an organization can demonstrate its adherence to the principle by providing training on AI-use best practices to its staff prior to deployment.

In addition, the MCN specifies that these principles apply even when a public body uses **service providers or partners** to develop or deploy an AI system; each organization is therefore responsible for ensuring that its suppliers and partners adhere to these principles at all stages of a project involving the integration of AI.

The Best Practices Guide to Generative AI Use

In October 2024, the MCN published the [Best Practices Guide to Generative AI Use](#) (available in French only) as a companion to its Statement of Principles. Designed to provide public bodies with a governance framework on how to use widely available external generative AI tools, this Guide expands on the Statement of Principles with practical guidance on responsibly operationalizing these emerging technologies. The Guide offers recommendations for both organizations and individual employees with the goal of supporting public bodies as they develop their AI governance.

Public bodies should focus on integrating the following complementary principles into how they use external generative AI tools.

Protection

Public bodies and their employees are responsible for protecting the information they hold. They must safeguard sensitive or strategic information and protect personal information in accordance with applicable privacy rights.

Best practices: Protection

- Never disclose personal information or confidential or sensitive information tied to the public body's intellectual property to generative AI tools.
- Ensure you comply with existing law, rules and directives, including the [*Act respecting Access to documents held by public bodies and the Protection of personal information*](#).
- Make sure the network link with the AI is encrypted, and always check the URL in the address bar to secure your exchanges and prevent malicious actors from accessing them.

Responsibility and impartiality

Public bodies are accountable for the information they produce and share. They must therefore ensure that any AI-generated content is factual, legal and ethical. It must also be coherent, accurate, free from bias, relevant to the public body's mandate, and used fairly.

Best practices: Responsibility and impartiality

- Never view AI-generated answers as definitive. See them as a starting point rather than the final word.
- Always review and verify AI output by consulting a variety of sources and seeking out human experts if needed.

Use

Public bodies must ensure that generative AI tools are used effectively and appropriately to address the needs of the organization or the public.

Best practices: Use

- Only use AI in appropriate contexts and in accordance with the Statement of Principles and your organization's ethical and governmental standards.
- Ensure that generated content aligns with your organization's mission.
- Review and adhere to the terms of use for each generative AI tool, including content rules, time limitations and usage specifications.

Due diligence and accountability

Public bodies need to diligently and proactively manage risks and incidents related to generative AI. They must determine whether to track and document the use of these tools to foster transparency in how and why actions are taken and decisions are made.

Best practices: Due diligence and accountability

- Promptly report any information that might suggest a confidentiality incident or the publication of misleading, erroneous or defamatory information about the organization, in accordance with current internal procedures.

Awareness, change management and well-being

Public bodies need to inform and educate people about how generative AI tools work, their strengths and limitations, and how to use them responsibly. They need to ensure that support is available to employees in the event that generative AI negatively affects their health or well-being, depending on the resources available within the organization.

Best practices: Awareness, change management and well-being

- Choose your AI tools carefully.
- Keep yourself informed about updates, improvements and restrictions to the AI tools you use.
- Only use the tools your organization has green-lit.
- When in doubt about an AI tool's reliability or safety, contact the appropriate authorities in your organization to assess the risks.

The MCN emphasizes that each public body must tailor these principles to their unique characteristics. They can also leverage their internal security, access to information and privacy processes to regulate the use of external generative AI tools. In all cases, it's vital to take precautions that match how AI is being used, and appointing a person to oversee and manage these tools is a strategically smart approach.

Towards a harmonized framework for AI?

It is interesting to note that the principles outlined by the MCN are very similar to those identified by the federal government in its [companion document to the Artificial Intelligence and Data Act \(AIDA\)](#).

AIDA's risk-based approach is precisely designed to align with evolving international standards in the field of AI, including the European Union's AI Regulation, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development's (OECD) [AI Principles](#), and the National Institute of Standards and Technology's (NIST) [Risk Management Framework](#) in the United States. The MCN also uses the OECD definition of "artificial intelligence system."

Given the obstacles faced by federal Bill C-27, it bodes well to see the Québec government taking inspiration from this same approach to regulation, in accordance with international standards on AI. As more and more public bodies explore AI opportunities

to improve their operations and the delivery of public services, the MCN Statement of Principles provides clear guidance that can be applied to all sectors of public administration, regardless of the nature of the activities or data involved.

In this context, the Ministère de l'Éducation recently published the [Guide for the Pedagogical, Ethical and Legal Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence for Teachers](#) (available in French only). This Guide, directly inspired by the MCN's Statement of Principles, suggests principles for the use of generative AI tailored to the education sector. This initiative could serve as a first step towards the development of sector-specific principles for other strategic fields, such as health or justice, thus reinforcing ethical and coherent governance of AI across all of Québec's public services.

Finally, to operationalize these principles, public bodies can consider [putting in place an AI governance framework](#) to strengthen their resilience in integrating AI.

Contact us

BLG's [Cybersecurity, Privacy & Data Protection](#) group closely monitors legal developments that can inform organizations about data protection requirements in Canada. If your organization has questions about implementing an AI governance framework, please reach out to the contacts below or any other group members.

¹ The MCN refers to the [Déclaration de valeurs de l'administration publique québécoise](#) (available in French only).

By

[Simon Du Perron](#), [Cassandre Legault](#)

Expertise

[Cybersecurity, Privacy & Data Protection](#), [Artificial Intelligence \(AI\)](#), [Technology](#), [Government & Public Sector](#)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.